UNITED STATES DEPAR ENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

10/074,535		FILING DATE	WILLIAM F. NORDLIN, ET AL.		ATI	913/38954/283	
		16-Dec-02					
Title:	HYDR	AULIC PUNCH D	RIVER				
•							
					Art Unit	Paper Number	

Correspondence Address:

JAMES A. O'MALLEY TREXLER BUSHNELL GIANGIORGI BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD 105 W. ADAMS STREET, STE. 3600

CHICAGO IL 60603-6299

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE MAILED

JUN 2 3 anna

LICENSING & REVIEW

Please find attached a communication from the Examiner regarding the Petition for Retroactive License under 37 CFR 5.25.

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

James A. O'Malley TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI, BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD. 105 W. Adams Street, 36th Floor Chicago, IL 60603

In re:

Nordin et al

DECISION ON REQUEST

Serial No.:

10/074,535

UNDER 37 CFR 5.25

Filing date:

13 November 2001

Docket No:

913/38954/283

Title: HYDRAULIC PUNCH DRIVER

This is a decision on the petition filed on 16 December 2002 for a retroactive foreign filing license.

37 CFR 5.25(a) requires the following:

- 1. A listing of each of the foreign countries in which the unlicensed patent application material was filed,
- 2. The dates on which the material was filed in each country,
- 3. A verified statement (oath or declaration) containing:
 - i. An averment that the subject matter in question was not under a secrecy order at the time it was filed abroad, and that it is not currently under a secrecy order,
- ii. A showing that the license has been diligently sought after discovery of the proscribed foreign filing, and
- iii. An explanation of why the material was filed abroad through error and without deceptive intent without the required license under § 5.11 first having been obtained, and
- 4. The required fee (§ 1.17(h)).

The petition is **Denied** at this time in that the petition is defective since a copy of the German Patent application has not been provided for the record to show that the U.S. Patent application and the German Patent applications contain the same inventive subject matter.

Accordingly, the provisions of 37 CFR 5.25 not having been fully met, the petition is denied, and in the absence of any response within 60 days of the mailing date of this letter, such denial will

be made final and the final action under 35 U.S.C. 185 will be taken. Extensions of time may be had under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).

Andrea M. Valenti

Patent Examiner

Group 3643

(703) 305-3010